## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220 Il as, where applicable, item 5 below.
102 174 a/se	AOTION	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Filolity Date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2004/001434	16/02/2004	
Applicant		
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (PUBL)		
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.		
This International Search Report consists of a total of sheets.		
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.		
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).		
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.		
2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).		
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III).		
4. With regard to the title,		
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.		
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:		
ADDRESS MANAGEMENT IN MOBILE IP ENVIROMENTS		
		•
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establi may, within one month fr	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Autho om the date of mailing of this international sea	ority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regards to the <b>drawings</b> ,		
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be published with the abstract is Figure No8		
as suggested by the applicant.		
as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.		
as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		
b. none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.	